

# Modeling Temperature Driven Wearout Rates for Electronic Components

Steve Wetterling, MSEE, and Pat Barrett, BSEE, P.E.

Electronic components generally experience functional wearout where 1 or more physical processes lead to degradation of the component as is it used in a circuit. Wearout is typically experienced at a macroscopic level where the component no long conducts current.

Wearout processes are almost always accelerated by temperature. This means that for an electronic component with a room temperature service life (e.g. a measured average of 100,000 hours for a representative sample of units) the actual service life will be a lesser amount at higher temperature.

The Arrhenius Equation is typically used to model the acceleration of the temperature dependent physical processes that lead to functional wearout:

$$C_R = M * e^{**}(-E_a / k T) \quad [M \text{ times } e \text{ raised to exponent } (-E_a/kT) ]$$

- $C_R$  is the "Process Rate Coefficient" (applies to chemical as well as physical processes)
- $M$  is an experimentally determined constant specific to the materials and methods used
- $e$  = 2.718281
- $E_a$  is the "Activation Energy" for the physical process(es) that lead to wearout, in eV
- $k$  is Boltzmann's Constant,  $8.617 \times 10^{-5}$  eV / K (8.617E-5 in Excel notation)
- $T$  is Temperature in degrees Kelvin (Celsius degrees plus 273.15)
- eV means "Electron Volt"

The general case is to determine the component's service life operating at room temperature. Thereafter we are interested in the probable service life when used at a higher temperature. We use the comparative form of the Arrhenius Equation to estimate a service life acceleration factor:

$$\text{Acceleration Factor} = e^{**}[(E_a / k) (1/T_1 - 1/T_2)]$$

- where  $T_1$  is the reference temperature (e.g. 25°C / 298.15°K)
- and  $T_2$  is the actual use temperature.

The Activation Energy,  $E_a$ , is determined empirically. For the aluminum-copper alloys used in integrated circuit conductors the typical determined  $E_a$  values are 0.8eV to 1.0eV where 1.0eV is taken as the starting point for the analysis until enough statistical significant data is available to establish a more accurate estimate for  $E_a$ .

Applied to a fuse wearout experience with the Littelfuse R452 ½ Amp NANO fuse used in an over current and over temperature application, the following acceleration factors are calculated assuming  $E_a = 1.0\text{eV}$ :

Operating Temp °C	Operating Temp °K	Acceleration Factor	Projected Service Life (1 / Acceleration Factor)
25	298.15	1	100.00%
45	318.15	12	8.65%
65	338.15	100	1.00%
85	358.15	679	0.15%
105	378.15	3,769	0.03%
125	398.15	17,607	0.01%

Discussion: These Acceleration Factors depend only on the activation energy,  $E_a$ , for the wearout processes specific to the materials and manufacturing methods used. This means that if  $E_a$  is the same or very similar for the Littelfuse R452 as for a comparable ½ Amp fuse from another manufacturer, then we can expect similar service life shortening at high operating temperature for the substitute fuse.

**The punch line for all of the above =>**

**Operate all electronic components, including fuses, at lower temperatures to achieve a longer service life.**

For completeness here is the same table of calculated Acceleration Factors for  $E_a = 0.8\text{eV}$  which is at the other end of the values observed for metalization systems in semiconductors:

<b>Operating Temp °C</b>	<b>Operating Temp °K</b>	<b>Acceleration Factor</b>	<b>Service Life Derate (1 / Acceleration Factor)</b>
<b>25</b>	<b>298.15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.000%</b>
<b>45</b>	<b>318.15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14.121%</b>
<b>65</b>	<b>338.15</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2.514%</b>
<b>85</b>	<b>358.15</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>0.543%</b>
<b>105</b>	<b>378.15</b>	<b>726</b>	<b>0.138%</b>
<b>125</b>	<b>398.15</b>	<b>2492</b>	<b>0.040%</b>

Note that Acceleration Factors are smaller for smaller  $E_a$  values.

Also note that merely raising the operating temperature from room temperature to 65°C lowers the service life by a factor of 40 to 100 depending on the exact  $E_a$ . This means that a demonstrated room temperature 100,000 hour service life becomes just 2,500 hours or even 1,000 hours.

*Filename = Modeling Temperature Driven Wearout Rates for Electronic Components 2007-08-08.doc*